The 3rd March, 1983

No. ID/SPT/25-83/10562.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Raghubir Singh and the management of M/s Rajdhani Textile, M-6, Industrial Area, Sonepat, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970, read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Raghubir Singh was justified and in order.? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGN/145-82/10569.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s Breja Engg. Pvt. Ltd., 1/1, Manesher Road, Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68-15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of workmen (list given below) was justified and in order? If not, to what relief are they entitled?

Sr. No.	Name of the workers	Sr. No.	Name of the workers
1	Jai Paul	15	Jiwat Sahu
2	Nageshwar	16	Jogi Ram
3	Om Parkash	17	Ram Parvash
4 .	Attar Singh	18	Ishwar Singh
5	Hari Ram	19	Udey Singh
6	Rati Ram	- 20	Satrughan
• 7	Mool Chand	21	Narain Sahu
8	Manget Ram	22	Devinder Kumar
. 9 10	Lalsa Ram Dharam Singh	.23.	Om Parkash IJ
11	Ram Dershan Rai	24 .	Madan Lal
. 12	Vasudev	- 25	Mohinder Sahu .
13	Desai Sahu	2 6	Om Parkash III
. 14	Jitender Singh	27 .	Jai Parkash

No. ID/FD/18/83/10576.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Uma Shankar and the management of M/s Hyderabad Asbestos Cement Products Ltd., Ballabgarh, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing:

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Uma Shankar was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled?